

**IN THE NAME OF THE REPUBLIC OF ARMENIA
DECISION OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF ARMENIA**

**ON THE CASE CONCERNING THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF PART 3 OF
ARTICLE 237 OF THE CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE OF THE REPUBLIC OF
ARMENIA AND ALSO CONSIDERING ITS INTERPRETATION IN LAW
ENFORCEMENT PRACTICE, RAISED BY THE APPLICATION OF ARMANUSH
HARUTYUNYAN**

Based on the results of examination of the Case and being guided by point 1 of Article 168, point 8 of part 1 of Article 169, part 1 and parts 4-5 of Article 170 of the Constitution, as well as Articles 63, 64, and 69 of the Constitutional Law “On the Constitutional Court”, the Constitutional Court **DECIDED:**

1. Part 3 of Article 237 of the Civil Procedure Code of the Republic of Armenia complies with the Constitution in an interpretation according to which, in cases concerning the establishment of facts having legal significance, the insufficient clarity of the formulation of the claim submitted by the person applying to the court cannot, in itself, constitute a ground for restricting that person’s right to judicial protection when deciding whether to admit the application for proceedings; in such circumstances, the court must be guided by the substantive essence of the application.

2. According to part 10 of Article 69 of the Constitutional Law “On the Constitutional Court”, the final judicial act issued against the Applicant shall be subject to review on the basis of a new circumstance as prescribed by law, since part 3 of Article 237 of the Civil Procedure Code of the Republic of Armenia was applied to the Applicant in an interpretation differing from that expressed in this Decision.

3. According to part 2 of Article 170 of the Constitution, this Decision shall be final, and it shall enter into force upon its promulgation.

PRESIDING JUSTICE

A. DILANYAN

26 May 2026

DCC-1830